without preJudice
UCC 1-308
SS# 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

NOTICE OF PRESENTMENT

This is to notify the alleged court that I, Miguel-Raymond: Villagran have Mailed, Signed original copies of verified sworn Affidavit and rebuttal with total # of 3 pages to the public Record Docket # 12CR94, on November 20, 2013 using prison Mail at METROPOLITAN CORRECTIONAL CENTER, pursuant to the "prison Mail box Rule," "An Alleged incarcerated proponent is deemed to have Filed a court Document on the Date that I delivered the documents to the prison officials for actual Mailing," See Houston V. Lack, 487 US 266, 276 (1988).

under the penalty of perJury of the Laws of These united States of America of The Republic, I declare and Affirm by My Signature and Seal the foregoing is True, Correct and Complete to the best of My Knowledge and belief and not Meant to Mislead.

FILED
DEC 05 2013
DEC 05 2013

JUDGE CHARLES R. NORGLE
U.S. District Court Judge

without preJudice
UCC 1-308, UCC 1-207, UCC 1-103, UCC 3-415
By: [signature], Agent
Miguel-Raymond: Villagran, Agent

Date November 20, 2013

without preJudice
UCC 1-308
SS# 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

UNDER RESERVE WITH COPY-CLAIM

By: UCC 1-308, [illegible], Agent

NOT A Corporation, But living Soul

Without preJudice

UCC 1-308

Date: NOV. 20, 2013

SS # 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

[illegible]

USA
forever
2013

Date Nov. 20, 2013
Alleged Case No# 12CR94
Alleged Case Title # USA
V. MICHAEL R. VILLAGRAN

NOTICE OF VIOLATION OF HUMAN RIGHT'S

Declaration of Judicinial Bias And Prosecutorial Fraud

Notice of Dismissal Rule 12(B)

From proponent: Miguel-RayMond: Villagran To Respondents: Thomas G. Bruton, And chief Justice Castillo

I Abrogate, Rebut, Refute And Void the presumption That Alleged Judge Norgle (And The Alleged Respondents) Have Sentenced Me As I Have Reserved All My Rights without preJudice In this case of Mistaken Identity. A presumption Exists Norgle Has perJured Himself By Assigning A Debt To My propia personaM As He LACKS My CONSENT, PERSONAM And SubJect MATTER JURISDICTION. THEREFORE I Challenge And DeMand Norgle To produce A Duly verified Sworn Declaration He Did Not COMMit perJury, Did not Violate His public Oath, Did Not Act Impartial By proceeding SUMMARILY with Sentencing, (As I AM Not The Defendant,) Did not Commit "FRAUD ON THE COURT," "PROSECUTORIAL FRAUD" And The "ABSENCE OF JURISDICTION." Therein A New presumption exists Charles Ronald Norgle (Senior) is Hiding His Fraud Behind "COLOR OF LAW" By His Refusal to Answer, wherein His Silence is Acquiescence And perfected Evidence That He IS As A Matter of Fact, Law, record And public policy GUILTY OF TREASON, SEDITION, WARRING AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, COMMERCE FRAUD and PEONAGE For Forcing Me into INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE, and whereby Charles Ronald Norgle (Senior) Must be Disqualified As A Judge Sua Sponte. I therefore order the Clerk of the court to issue Default JudgMent. Against The Allege, Judge, prosecutors, Alleged Defense Attorney And in Favor of Me For DisMissal with preJudice of this public Matter Sua Sponte, lest The Respondents forget the Bill of Rights, Declaration of Independence, the Constitution of the Republic, and the codified ReMedy and Recourse at public policy 1-207/1-308/1-103.

without preJudice
UCC 1-207, UCC 1-308, UCC 1-103, UCC 3-415
By: [signature]
Miguel-RayMond: Villagran, Agent
Secured party, Guardian, Executor, Beneficiary without preJudice

UCCDoc-201303 2035

UCC 1-308 ... 367
SS # 342

USA FOREVER

[signature]

UNDER RESERVE WITH COPY-CLAIM
By: UCC 1-308 [signature], Agent
Not A corporation, But A living Soul

without preJudice
UCC 1-308
Date: November 20, 2013

SS # 3427[illegible]9367

2013
USA FOREVER
MENDOZA

[signature] -2013

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I, Miguel-RayMond:Villagran have Mailed Original Signed Copies, With a total # of pages being 3, of Duly Verified Sworn Declaration of Fact and Truth and Rebuttal to the following on NoveMber 20, 2013.

Clerk of us Court
ThoMAS G. BRUTON
219 S. Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604

Chief Judge
MR. Castillo
219 S. Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604

Tacit Response Render My ClaiM as Absolute Truth In CoMMerce And SuMMary JudgMent Against All Respondents.

NOTICE TO CLERK AND CHIEF JUDGE MR. Castillo
Please Respond Back At: (VIA MAIL BOX POLICY)
Miguel-RayMond:Villagran Secured party, Guardian, Beneficiary, Executor
REG. # 44297-424 METROPOLITAN CORRECTIONAL CENTER
71 W, VAN BUREN STREET CHICAGO, Illinois 60605

Without preJudice
UCC 1-308
Date: NOV, 20, 2013

SS # 342-[illegible]-8367

2013
USA ★ FOREVER
LYDIA MENDOZA

UNDER RESERVE WITH COPY-CLAIM

By: UCC 1-308 [illegible], Agent

Not A corporation, But A living Soul

Date: November 20, 2013

without preJudice
UCC 1-308

SS # 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

11-20-2013

[illegible]

* NON-NEGOTIABLE *

2

DISCIPLINE HEARING OFFICER REPORT **BP-S304.052 MAY 94**

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Declaration Violation of All Rights **FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS**

INSTITUTION	MCC Chicago	INCIDENT REPORT NUMBER		2465865	
~~INMATE NAME~~	~~VILLGRAN, Michael~~	REG NO	44297-424	Unit	Z
DATE OF INCIDENT	07-09-2013	DATE OF INCIDENT REPORT		07-09-2013	
OFFENSE/CHARGES	Code: 196; Use of the Mail for an Illegal Purpose.				

I. NOTICE OF CHARGE(S)

A. The DHO Hearing was held on: 10-11-2013 at 11:50 a.m.

II. STAFF REPRESENTATIVE

A. Inmate requested or was appointed staff representation	Yes		No	X

B. Statement of Staff Representative: N/A

N/A

III. PRESENTATION OF EVIDENCE

A. Inmate	admits		denies		neither	X	the charges.

B. Summary of inmate statement:

I don't recognize your laws.

C. Witness(es):

1. The inmate requested witnesses	Yes:		No:	X

2. The following persons were called as witnesses at this hearing and appeared.

N/A

3. The following persons requested were not called for the reason(s) given.

N/A

4. Unavailable witnesses submit written statements and those statements received were considered.	Yes:		No:		N/A	

N/A

D. Documentary Evidence:

Inmate did not present any documentary evidence at the hearing. All evidence used by the DHO is listed in Section V.

E. Confidential information was used by DHO in support of his findings, but was not revealed to the inmate. The confidential information was documented in a separate report. The confidential information has been determined to be reliable because:

N/A

IV. FINDINGS OF THE DHO

X	A. The act was committed as charged.
	B. The following act(s) was committed:
	C. Summary of Charges:
	D. No prohibited act was committed: Expunge according to Inmate Discipline PS 5270.09

V. SPECIFIC EVIDENCE RELIED ON TO SUPPORT FINDINGS

The inmate was advised of his right at the UDC hearing on 7-11-2013, but refused to sign the forms. Two staff signatures were obtained verifying the inmate's refusal to sign the Notice of Hearing and Rights forms. The inmate did not submit documentary evidence, and did not request any witnesses or a staff representative this was verified at the DHO hearing.

I DO NOT ACCEPT TO CONTRACT AND I DO NOT CONSENT TO THESE PROCEEDINGS.

I hereby Rebut, Refute, Abrogate, Void And Challenge The Presumption of guilt By Demanding All parties Making Statements, proffers, Reports, or other Communications to produce A Duly Verified And Sworn Declaration Signed unconditionally Under penalty of Perjury under any law they choose Via Wet-ink Signature Attesting their fraudulent invalid Claim. You have 72 Hours To Reply Substantively Under Title 5 [556] (d) "Burden of Proof". Tacit Abenegation Renders this entire Claim As Fraudulent and a condensed lie, Ab-initio Via Silent Acquiescence Ignorance is NO excuse for not knowing the law. Govern Yourselves Accordingly.

11-20-2013 (signature)

* NON - NEGOTIABLE *

As stated in the Incident Report based on July 9, 2013, at 11:30 am, SIS reviewed mail authored by inmate MICHAEL VILLAGRAN #44297-424. Villagran used the mail system marked as Legal Mail for an illegal purpose to extort a Government Official by falsely filing an invoice with payment terms: "Payment is demanded forthwith. In satisfaction of this invoice Proponent will accept delivery of physical coins to the total stated by any secure carrier authorized as payment." Villagran sent the invoice form in the mail addressed as "Bill to: Respondent: US District Judge Charles R. Nogle / Pay to: Michael Raymond Villagran." Villagran conveyed details of extortion totaling $186,000 if the judge did not respond within specified due dates. Inmate MICHAEL VILLAGRAN #44297-424 is charged with using the mail for an illegal purpose to extort a Government Official by demanding payment from an Illinois Northern District Judge.

The DHO considered your statement during the Investigation, "I don't consent or except this proceeding, please show me your ~~lost hand of~~ law, standing and authority, you can file a rebuttal."

The DHO considered your statement during the UDC, "I don't except or consent."

The DHO considered your statement as documented in Section III. B.

The DHO considered the invoice and envelope sent to the courts.

Michael R. Villagran [44297 424]
Metropolitan Correctional center
71 W, Van Buren Street
Chicago, Illinois 60605

17 JUN 2013 PM 1 L

Legal Mail

Charles R. Norgle
219 S. Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604

60604184496

* Declaration of All Rights Reserved *
Without PreJudice UCC 1-207, UCC 1-308, UCC 1-103, UCC 3-415
Secured party, Executor, Guardian,
By: [signature]
Beneficiary Eternal Essence
Divine Spirit Incarnate
UCC DOC. No. #2013032035

11-20-2013

* NON-NEGOTIABLE *

DISCIPLINE HEARING OFFICER REPORT
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Declaration of Violation of All Rights

BP-S304.052 MAY 94
FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS

12CR94

Invoice

FINAL copy 1of3

Bill to: Charles R. Norgle	Pay to: Michael Raymond Villagran
Respondent: Alleged: US District Judge 219 South Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604	Proponent: Alleged: Metropolitan Correctional Center 71 W, Van Buren Street Chicago, Illinois 60605
Payment Terms: 14 calendar days	Due Date: 7 - 2 - 2013

FILED JUN 21 2013 JUDGE CHARLES R. NORGLE U.S. District Court Judge

Whereas the foreclosure of the world's banks and governments is recorded fact; unrebutted, (DECLARATION OF FACTS: UCC Doc # 2012127914 Nov 28 2012) and no Sufficient Verified Response was provided demonstrating a lawfully binding contract between the individuals named above, namely:

A duly verified rebuttal of the DECLARATION OF FACTS, point by point, with specificity and particularity, by duly sworn declaration, with Respondent's full responsibility and liability, under penalty of perjury under governing law, or any law so long as it was identified, signed by Respondent's wet ink signature WAS NOT RECEIVED.

Terms and conditions were offered within Proponent's *Terms & Conditions* The methods of acceptance were clearly defined.

Respondent is invoiced for their actions arising from Respondent's Reminder Notice dated: 07 June 2013 in the pursuit on an unsubstantiated charge.

Item	Description	From	To	Rate	Qty	Amount
#15.	Unlawful Arrest / Detainment per calendar day or part thereof.	17 April 2013	June 17 2013	1,000	62	62,000
#16.	Operating or perpetuating Any And All Private Money Slave Systems.	17 April 2013	June 17 2013	1,000	62	62,000
#13.	Seizing proponents private property As Surety for Payment.	17 April 2013	June 17 2013	1,000	62	62,000

Total Number (of one troy ounce coins of 99.9% pure silver payable) 186,000

Waiver & Grace:

If within fourteen (14) calendar days of recorded receipt of this invoice, Respondent is able to provide a Sufficient Verified Response:

1. proof of claim of a lawfully binding contract between the individual parties named above as required in Terms and Conditions Reference Number: T2-51513

OR

2. provide irrevocable written withdrawal of action itemised above causing this invoice to be issued. This invoice will be waived - No payment is required. Any invoices issued prior remain payable.

Payment Terms:

Payment is demanded forthwith. In satisfaction of this invoice Proponent will accept delivery of physical coins to the total stated herein by any secure carrier authorised by the [Perth Mint] as payment.

All Rights Reserved
Without PreJudice
UCC 1-207, UCC 1-308, UCC 1-103, UCC 3-415
By: [signature]
Secured Party, Beneficiary, Guardian Executor

11-20-2013

All rights reserved

After careful consideration, the DHO found you committed the prohibited act of Code 196, Use of the Mail for an Illegal Purpose, based on the greater weight of the evidence as presented above. Specifically, section 11 of the incident report where staff documented you sent a letter marked legal mail to the United States Court House Northern District Illinois Federal Judge Charles Nogle. This envelope contained a invoice identifying terms and conditions to have been met within 14 calendar days. The invoice states: "Bill to respondent Charles R. Norgle; Pay to: Michael Raymond Villagran, Proponent. Total Number (of one troy ounce coins 99.9% pure silver payable) $186,000; Payment Terms: Payment is demanded forthwith. In satisfaction of this invoice Proponent will accept delivery of physical coins to the total stated herein by any secure carrier authorized by the [Perth Mint] as payment. This invoice references UCC Doc# 2012127914, Nov 28, 2012. Inmates are not authorized to have UCC document in their possession. These documents are considered UCC document and are fraudulent. There is some evidence to support that you used the mail for an illegal purpose by attempting to extort a staff member by fraud, based upon the reporting officers documented report and invoice; all shows you committed the prohibited act.

* NON-NEGOTIABLE *

DISCIPLINE HEARING OFFICER REPORT **BP-S304.052 MAY 94**

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE * Declaration of Violation Of All Rights * **FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS**

VI. SANCTION OR ACTION TAKEN

Code 196:
41 Days DGCT
90 Days Telephone Restriction
90 Days Commissary Restriction
90 Days Visits Restriction
90 Days Email Restriction

VII. REASON FOR SANCTION OR ACTION TAKEN

The action on the part of any inmate to use the mail to further criminal activity seriously jeopardizes the safe and secure running of the institution and affected the general public in this case. The Federal bureau of Prisons is tasked with helping to ensure that the general public is protected from the inmates. Inmates are to use the mail only to maintain positive family and community ties and not to commit criminal activities. The sanctions imposed by the DHO were taken to express the gravity of the infraction and let the inmate know that he will be held responsible for his actions at all times. Although not directly related to the infraction, privileges were taken to deter the inmate from this behavior in the future.

I Do Not Accept This offer To contract And Do Not consent To These pro ceedings.

VIII. APPEAL RIGHTS: The inmate has been advised of the findings, specific evidence relied on, action and reasons for the action. The inmate has been advised of his right to appeal this action within 20 calendar days under the Administrative Remedy Procedure. A copy of this report has been given to the inmate.

	Yes	X	No	

IX. DISCIPLINE HEARING OFFICER

Printed Name of DHO	Signature of DHO	Date
M. Puckett	[signature]	11-4-2013
Report delivered by:	[signature]	Date: 11-6-13

(This form may be replicated in WP) Replaces BP-304(52) of JAN 88

Declaration of All Rights Reserved
Without PreJudice UCC 1-207, UCC 1-308,
UCC 1-103, UCC 3-415
By: [signature]

11-20-2013

* NON-NEGOTIABLE *

DISCIPLINE HEARING OFFICER REPORT
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

BP-S304.052 MAY 94
FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS

INSTITUTION	MCC Chicago	INCIDENT REPORT NUMBER		2470437	
INMATE NAME	VILLAGRAN,	REG NO	44297-424	Unit	Z
DATE OF INCIDENT	7-20-2013	DATE OF INCIDENT REPORT		7-20-2013	
OFFENSE/CHARGES	Code 110; Refusing to provide a urine sample; refusing to take part in other drug abuse testing.				

I. NOTICE OF CHARGE(S)

A. The DHO Hearing was held on: 10-25-2013; at 1315

II. STAFF REPRESENTATIVE

A. Inmate requested or was appointed staff representation	Yes		No	X

B. Statement of Staff Representative: N/A

N/A

III. PRESENTATION OF EVIDENCE

A. Inmate	admits		denies		neither	X	the charges.

B. Summary of inmate statement:

Waived hearing.

C. Witness(es):

1. The inmate requested witnesses	Yes:		No:	X

2. The following persons were called as witnesses at this hearing and appeared.

N/A

3. The following persons requested were not called for the reason(s) given.

N/A

4. Unavailable witnesses submit written statements and those statements received were considered.	Yes:		No:		N/A	

N/A

D. Documentary Evidence:

Inmate did not present any documentary evidence at the hearing. All evidence used by the DHO is listed in Section V.

E. Confidential information was used by DHO in support of his findings, but was not revealed to the inmate. The confidential information was documented in a separate report. The confidential information has been determined to be reliable because:

N/A

IV. FINDINGS OF THE DHO

X	A. The act was committed as charged.	
	B. The following act(s) was committed:	
	C. Summary of Charges:	
	D. No prohibited act was committed: Expunge according to Inmate Discipline PS 5270.09	

V. SPECIFIC EVIDENCE RELIED ON TO SUPPORT FINDINGS

The inmate waived his right to the DHO hearing on 10-25-2013. Two staff signatures were obtained verifying the inmate's refusal to attend the DHO hearing. It is noted that during the Unit Discipline Committee Hearing, you requested inmate CABANAS 45093-424 as a witness; however, you elected waive witness testimony prior to the DHO hearing. The

I DO ACCEPT YOUR OFFER TO CONTRACT AND I DO NOT CONSENT TO These proceedings.

Declaration of All Rights Reserved
Without prejudice UCC 1-207
UCC 1-308, UCC 1-103, UCC 3-415
By: [signature]
Secured Party, Bene-ficiary, Executor & Guardian Eternal Essence
UCC Doc. No. #2013032035
Date: 11-2[illegible]-2013

inmate did not submit documentary evidence, and did not request witnesses or staff representation. * Declaration of Violation of All Rights *

As stated in the Incident Report on 7-20-2013, at approximately 09:05 A.M., I went to Inmate Villagran, Michael # 44297-424 cell located on range #1, cell Z01-026. I informed inmate Villagran that he had to provide a Urine Sample for an institution U/A. Inmate Villagran requested to see the paperwork for the U/A to write a statement about the Urine Sample. Inmate Villagran wrote, "without prejudice UCC 1-207, 1-103, 1-308, Unauthorized Consent" is the reasoning for not providing a Urine Sample. After the inmate signed the paperwork I reported the Incident to the Day Watch Operation Lieutenant.

The DHO considered your statement during the Investigation stating, "You do not have the proper authority for this. America is incorporated and bankrupt."

The DHO considered your statement during the UDC, "I never refused a urine sample. I just wrote without prejudice to reserve my rights. I was willing to provide a urine sample."
* Declaration of ALL RIGHTS RESERVED *

The DHO considered your statement as documented in Section III. B.
Without Prejudice UCC 1-207/1-308

The DHO considered the Federal Bureau of Prisons Chain of Custody Form, Specimen ID NO. BOP0001973487, with your name and number on it. You wrote in the inmate signature block "Without prejudice UCC 1-207, 1-103, 1-308, Unauthorized Consent."
By: [illegible] Secured Party, Guardian

After careful consideration, the DHO found you committed the prohibited act of Code 110, Refusing to provide a urine sample, based on the greater weight of the evidence as presented above. Specifically, section 11 of the incident report where staff documented you refused to provide a urine sample. The DHO considered your denial of the charge, however, greater weight was given to the statement of the reporting staff member, as the staff member was merely acting in routine performance of his duties and has nothing to gain from fabrication of the charge. You, on the other hand do, in order to avoid the consequences of your actions. Additionally, the inmate waived his appearance at the DHO hearing. The DHO drew an adverse inference against the inmate for his silence at the DHO hearings. The DHO believes if the inmate had a defense to the charge he would have attended the hearings, or at the very least, provided a written statement for consideration. There is some evidence to support that you refused to provide a urine sample, based upon the reporting officers documented report and supporting chain of custody form; all shows you committed the prohibited act.

Executor, Beneficiary, Eternal Essence
UCC DOC. NO. # 2013030635

I hereby Rebut, Refute, abrogate, void and challenge the presumption of guilt by demanding all parties making statements; proffers; reports, or other communication to produce a duly verified and sworn declaration signed unconditionally under penalty of perjury under ANY law they choose via wet-ink signature attesting their fraudulent invalid claim. You have 72 Hours to reply substantively under Title 5 [556] (d) "Burden of Proof"; Tacit-abnegation renders this entire claim as Fraudulent and a condensed lie, ab initio via silent acquiescence. Ignorance is no excuse for not knowing the law. Govern yourselves accordingly.
11-20-2013 [illegible]

VI. SANCTION OR ACTION TAKEN

Code 110:
41 Days DGCT
15 Days DS
90 Days Telephone Restriction
90 Days Email Restriction
90 Days Commissary Restriction
90 Days Visiting Restriction

VII. REASON FOR SANCTION OR ACTION TAKEN

The action on the part of any inmate to refuse to provide a urine sample, or take part in drug screening, to staff when requested creates an inability of staff to implement the established drug screening policy, which provides an orderly operation where both inmates and staff can live and work in a safe environment. Refusing to provide a urine sample is considered the equivalent to receiving a dirty urine report and hampers staff's ability to detect and deter the illegal use of narcotics. Refusing to submit to a breathalyzer test is considered equivalent to using intoxicants and hampers staff's ability to detect the illegal use of intoxicants. This action on the part of the inmate is considered disruptive because of the history of use of intoxicants leading to acts of violence. An inmate's refusal to take the test clearly indicates the inmate fears detection of his use of

NON-NEGOTIABLE

intoxicants. The sanctions imposed by the DHO were taken to express the seriousness of the infraction and let the inmate know that he will be held responsible for his actions at all times. Although not directly related to the infraction, privileges were taken to deter the inmate from this behavior in the future and let the inmate know that he will be held responsible for his actions at all times.

VIII. APPEAL RIGHTS: The inmate has been advised of the findings, specific evidence relied on, action and reasons for the action. The inmate has been advised of his right to appeal this action within 20 calendar days under the Administrative Remedy Procedure. A copy of this report has been given to the inmate.

	Yes	X	No	

IX. DISCIPLINE HEARING OFFICER

Printed Name of DHO	Signature of DHO	Date
M. Puckett	[signature]	11-18-2013
Report delivered by:	[signature]	Date: 11-20-13

(This form may be replicated in WP) Replaces BP-304(52) of JAN 88

Declaration of All Rights Reserved
Without preJudice UCC 1-207, UCC 1-308, UCC 1-103, UCC 3-415

By: [signature]
, Secured party, Beneficiary, Executor, Guardian
UCC Doc. No. #2013032035
Eternal Essence

Date: 11-20-2013

Declaration of Mistaken Identity
Without preJudice
[signature]
Secured party, Solo Guardian, Executor, Beneficiary UCC Doc-No. #2013032035